

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,328	10/31/2003	Michael Warner	TESSERA 3.0-273	2556
38091 7	7590 02/11/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER DAVID, LITENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			DANG, PHUC T	
WESTFIELD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		2818	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AK	_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/699,328	WARNER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		PHUC T. DANG	2818				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of th	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2003.					
′ —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3)□	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	·				
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner, Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 er No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/699,328

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I, Claims 1-41, drawn to a method of making a microelectronic assembly and a method of forming protrusions on a microelectronic element, classified in class 438, subclass 108.
- II. Group II, Claims 42-52, drawn to a semiconductor chip assembly, classified in class 257, subclass 787.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions I and II are related as method of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group II invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group I invention, since the device of Group II invention could be made by a product different from those of the Group I invention. For example, rather than using removing a portion of the material by the Group I, a step of etching could be formed to form protrusions on a microelectronic element. However, the issues of method and product claims are divergent. Furthermore, there may be some overlap in the searches of the two groups, but there is no reason to believe that the searches would be identical. Therefore, based on the additional work involved in searching and examination of the two inventions together, restriction of distinct inventions is clearly proper.
- 2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined eventhough the requirement be traverse (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00am to

5:00pm.

PP Sangshur

Phuc T. Dang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818